

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/598,434	Applicant(s) SAITO, NORIAKI
	Examiner NGUYEN VO	Art Unit 2618

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) NGUYEN VO. (3) _____.

(2) AARON FISHMAN. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 November 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7, 11, 14, 17, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Fishman agrees to correct minor errors in claims 7, 11, 14, 17 and 21 as indicated in the examiner's amendment in order to place the file in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)